

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

Supplemental Direct Testimony of

On Behalf of Verizon North Inc. and
Verizon South Inc.

July 3, 2002

I.C.C. DOCKET NO. 01-0539
Verizon Exhibit No. 3.9
 Witness Raynor
 Date 7/24/02 Reporter P

1 **Q. Please state your name and business address.**

2 A. My name is Faye H. Raynor, and my business address is 600 Hidden Ridge, Irving,
3 Texas 75038.

4 **Q. Ms. Raynor, did you previously file testimony in this Docket?**

5 A. Yes, my Direct Testimony was filed on June 11, 2002.

6 **Q. What is the purpose of your Supplemental Direct Testimony?**

7 A. My testimony responds to the Supplemental Direct Testimony of Staff as set forth in
8 revised Attachment 1.1 to the Direct Testimony of Staff witness Samuel S. McClerren
9 (ICC Staff Ex. 1.0).

10 **Q. What is Verizon's position with respect to Staff's Supplemental Direct Testimony?**

11 A. Verizon generally supports Staff's proposed language. However, to the extent that an
12 interconnection agreement contains terms regarding the termination of wholesale service,
13 it is Verizon's position that those terms should control. Verizon's amendment to Staff's
14 proposal clarifies this point. I note that this amendment does not limit the Commission's
15 oversight regarding this issue because interconnection agreements are subject to
16 Commission approval. Accordingly, I propose that Staff's language be amended as
17 follows:

18 Section 731.900 Notice of Termination of Wholesale
19 Service

20
21 Termination of wholesale service shall be conducted in
22 accordance with the terms and conditions specified in the
23 carriers' interconnection agreement. If not specifically
24 covered by the interconnection agreement, the following
25 shall apply: No Provisioning Carrier offering or providing
26 Wholesale Service to a Requesting Carrier shall terminate,
27 discontinue, or abandon such service once initiated except

28 upon at least 35 days prior written notice (the "Termination
29 Notice") to the Commission and the Requesting Carrier.
30 Notwithstanding anything to the contrary herein, no
31 Termination Notice shall be required for interruptions in
32 service due to Wholesale Service Emergency Situations.
33 Nothing in this Section 731.900 shall be construed to
34 abrogate or diminish the notification obligations of the
35 Requesting Carrier under the Public Utilities Act or
36 Commission rules (including without limitation Section 13-
37 406 of the Public Utilities Act and Code Part 735).

38 **Q.** **Does this conclude your testimony?**

39 **A.** **Yes.**